Identification of a new psychoactive substance in seized material: the synthetic opioid N-phenyl-N-[1-(2-phenethyl)piperidin-4-yl]prop-2-enamide (Acrylfentanyl)
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Among the new psychoactive substances (NPS) that have recently emerged on the market, many of the new synthetic opioids have shown to be particularly harmful. A new synthetic analogue of fentanyl, N-phenyl-N-[1-(2-phenethyl)piperidin-4-yl]prop-2-enamide (acrylfentanyl), was identified in powder from a seized capsule found at a forensic psychiatric ward in Denmark. Gas chromatography with mass spectrometry (GC-MS) identified a precursor to synthetic fentanyls, N-phenyl-1-(2-phenylethyl)piperidin-4-amine; however, the precursor 1-(2-phenethyl)piperidin-4-one, was not detected. Analysis of the electron impact mass spectrum of the main, unknown chromatographic peak (GC) tentatively identified an acryloyl analogue of fentanyl. Further analyses by quadrupole time-of-flight high resolution mass spectrometry (QTOF-MS), matrix-assisted laser ionization Orbitrap mass spectrometry (MALDI-Orbitrap-MS), nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR), and infra-red spectroscopy (IR) confirmed the presence of acrylafentanyl (also known as acryloylfentanyl). Quantitative analysis with liquid chromatography and triple quadrupole mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) determined the content of acrylafentanyl in the powder, equal to 88.3 mass-% acrylafentanyl hydrochloride. An impurity observed by NMR was identified as triethylamine hydrochloride. Acrylafentanyl is sold on the Internet as a research chemical. Like other synthetic fentanyls, such as acetylfentanyl, it poses a serious risk of fatal intoxication. Copyright © 2016 The Authors. Drug Testing and Analysis Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher’s web site.
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Introduction

The rapid appearance of new psychoactive substances (NPS) that are not controlled under international and national drug laws present a serious problem for public health. This drug phenomenon is characterised by the high number of new substances emerging each year, which in Europe meant a continuous increase in the number of new substances recorded for the first time from 24 in 2009 to 98 in 2015.4,5,6,7 Constantly changing, the transformation of the market is different from anything recorded historically, and has led to a global spread of new psychoactive substances.4

New synthetic opioids pose an especially serious concern for public health because of their high potency and because they are often sold under the guise of heroin to unsuspecting users.5,6,7 Of particular note is illicitly manufactured fentanyl and its derivatives that have been involved in hundreds of deaths worldwide. Since the first appearance on the market in the USA in the late 1970s, sold as ‘synthetic heroin’ and ‘China White’,8–10 fentanyls have been detected in Europe, Canada, Australia, Japan, and elsewhere, resulting in overdoses and outbreaks of deaths. Estonia faces a serious situation with hundreds of deaths involving use of illicitly produced fentanyls, accompanied by a growth in the number of seizures, overdoses, and treatment demand.11,12 In 2015, 32 deaths reported in Germany, Poland, Sweden, and the UK related to acetylfentanyl.13 The proportion of illicit drug overdose deaths involving fentanyls has grown in Canada during the last few years to exceed 50% in some parts of the country.14–16 Since 2012, 12 deaths in Russia,17 and more than 50 deaths in the USA have been associated with acetylfentanyl.18–22 Other fentanyl analogues, a Department of Clinical Biochemistry, North Denmark Regional Hospital (Aalborg University), Hjørring, Denmark
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such as butyrfentanyl, 3-methylfentanyl, and furanylfentanyl, have also been linked to serious adverse events. Additional risks arise from hazardous injecting behaviours, such as injecting fentanyl with used needles and syringes that can cause the spread of hepatitis C and HIV.

Synthetic cannabinoids are currently the largest group of NPS monitored by the European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA), followed by synthetic cathinones. Since 2008, 160 synthetic cannabinoids have been detected in Europe; 103 synthetic cathinones have been recorded from 2004 onwards. The number of new opioids recorded since 2009 is much lower in comparison (less than 20) with several of these being highly potent fentanyls. However, whilst these drugs appear to take up a small proportion of the market, they can be particularly harmful.

The use of fentanyl presents a complicated problem characterized by: (1) diversion from patients, tampering, and misuse of licensed medicines containing fentanyl (used as an analgesic drug); (2) availability of illicit fentanyl; (3) the emergence of a range of new fentanyl derivatives, sold illicitly as ‘research chemicals’, which may avoid detection by regulating authorities for long periods of time; (4) the availability of fentanyl and derivatives on the Internet and the dark net, which is one of the key drivers of the global spread of new psychoactive substances; (5) increasing use of fentanyl that are far more potent as an analgesic than morphine; (6) fentanyls sold in highly concentrated powder form where it is difficult to estimate strength and manage the dose, posing a serious risk of overdose; and (7) complex marketing, including fentanyls passed off as heroin and heroin as fentanyl.

In this dynamic market place, keeping up with manufacturers and suppliers of NPS is essential and yet detecting new drugs on the market, including fentanyl analogues, is very challenging in both clinical settings and in post-mortem forensic analysis. Fentanyl derivatives may escape detection because routine testing of these drugs is rarely performed. In this study we report the identification of the fentanyl analogue acrylfentanyl (also known as acryloylfentanyl) in a seized sample obtained from a psychiatric ward at a Danish hospital. To the best of our knowledge this is the first analytically confirmed non-biological case published within Europe.

Materials
A capsule was seized in May 2016 during a smuggling attempt at the Forensic Psychiatric Department at Aalborg Psychiatric Hospital (Denmark). The sample consisted of a white to pale-yellow powder inside a translucent capsule (Figure 1). Initially, a large part of the powder was used by the staff to prepare an ad hoc aqueous solution, to test with an immunoassay panel, ABC-multi-10 (Simoco Diagnostics, Hillerød, Denmark). This indicated a positive reaction for 3,4-methylenedioxyxymethamphetamine (MDMA). Subsequently, the capsule and the remaining powder (less than 6 mg) was sent to the Department of Clinical Biochemistry, North Denmark Regional Hospital (Denmark), for further analysis.

The standard of fentanyl used as reference was from Cerilliant (Round Rock, TX, USA). The standard of acrylfentanyl hydrochloride (CAS no. 79279-03-1) was from Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI, USA). The standard of N-phenyl-1-(2-phenylethyl)piperidin-4-amine was from Carbosynth (Compton, Berkshire, UK).

Methods
Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS)
Analysis was performed at the Department of Clinical Biochemistry, North Denmark Regional Hospital, on a 6890 gas chromatograph equipped with a Combi-Pal auto sampler from CTC Analytics (Zwingen, Switzerland). Analysis was performed using a XTI-5 capillary column from Restek (Bellevonte, PA, USA), 30 m, 0.25 mm i.d., film thickness 0.25 μm. The injection port temperature was 250 °C, the transfer line temperature was 280 °C and the MS source temperature was 230 °C. The initial column oven temperature was set to 50 °C and held constant for 1 min during injection. The oven temperature was ramped at 25 °C/min to 170 °C. Then the temperature was ramped at 15 °C/min to 300 °C, where it was held constant for 10 min. The carrier gas was helium at a constant flow rate of 1.3 mL/min. The sample powder was dissolved in methanol from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). The injection volume was 1 μL in splitless mode (1 min).

The mass spectrometer was operated in positive full scan mode, with acquisition of electron impact (EI) mass spectra in the range m/z 20–550, and the threshold was 100. Data acquisition started at 4 min. Data were processed using MSD Chemstation (02.02) software. Mass spectral libraries searches were performed using: (1) NIST/EPA/NIH library 11 (2011); (2) Mass Spectral Library of Drugs, Poisons, pesticides, Pollutants and their Metabolites 2011 (MPW2011); and (3) SWGDRUG MS Library version 2.4 (2015).

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy
Analysis by 1D and 2D NMR was performed at the Department of Drug Design and Pharmacology, University of Copenhagen. NMR spectra were recorded in CD3OD (CAS # 811-98-3), DMSO-d6 (CAS # 2206-27-1) (VWR Chemicals, Leuven, Belgium) or CDCl3 (CAS # 68-97-5) (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Andover, MA, USA) on a 400 or 600 MHz Bruker instrument (Bremen, Germany). Triethylamine hydrochloride (CAS # 554-68-7) was from Fluka.
(Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany). The obtained FID-files (Free induction decay) were processed with MestReNova 10 software using Whitaker smoother baseline correction and exponential apodization. Signals are reported in ppm ($\nu$) using the solvent as reference. Coupling constants ($J$) are given in hertz (Hz). Coupling constants are rounded to the nearest 0.5 Hz. Multiplet patterns are designated the following abbreviations, or combinations thereof: m – multiplet, d – doublet, t – triplet, q – quartet. Signal assignments were made from unambiguous chemical shifts and COSY (Correlation spectroscopy), HSQC (Heteronuclear single quantum coherence), and DEPT (Distortionless enhancement by polarization transfer) spectroscopy experiments.

**Infra-red (IR) spectroscopy**

Infra-red (IR) spectroscopy was recorded on a Spectrum One IR spectrometer from Perkin-Elmer (Waltham, MA, USA) using Spectrum One version 3.022 software. Samples were loaded as neat solids and signals ($\nu_{\text{max}}$) are reported in wavenumbers (cm$^{-1}$) in the range 3600-600 cm$^{-1}$.

**Orbitrap mass spectrometry**

Accurate mass measurement was performed by matrix-assisted laser ionization Orbitrap mass spectrometry (MALDI/Orbitrap MS) at the Department of Pharmacy, University of Copenhagen. Analysis was performed in positive ion mode with MALDI ionization on a Thermo QExactive Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, Bremen, Germany) equipped with an AP-MSMALDI 10 ion source (TransmitMIT, Giessen, Germany) and operated with mass resolving power 140,000 at $m/z$ 200. 2,5-Dihydroxybenzoic acid (CAS # 490-79-9) (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) was used as matrix and lock-mass for internal mass calibration, providing a mass accuracy of 3 ppm or better. Samples were dissolved in a solution of 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid in methanol (2 mg/mL) and 3 μL of the solution was loaded on a glass plate for analysis.

**Quadrupole time-of-flight (QTOF) mass spectrometry**

High resolution product ion spectra were acquired with quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (QTOF-MS) at the Section for Forensic Chemistry, Aarhus University, using a maXis Impact QTOF from Bruker Daltonics (Bremen, Germany), equipped with an orthogonal electrospray ionization (ESI) source. The software used to acquire HR-TOF-MS data and instrument control was QTOFControl 3.2 (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) and HyStar 3.2 (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany). Samples were introduced into the mass spectrometer using an ultra-high performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) method described by Telving et al.[31] Mass spectrometry was performed in positive electrospray ionization mode using Broad Band Collision Induced Dissociation (bB CID). The mass range was $m/z$ 50 to 1000. Nebulizer gas pressure was 4.0 bar, drying gas was set to 11 L/min at a temperature of 220 °C. Nitrogen was used for nebulizer, drying gas and collision gas. The mass spectrometer conditions were as follows: capillary voltage of the ion source, 4.0 kV; end plate offset, 500 eV; Needle 1 RF, 200 Vpp; Needle 2 RF, 200 Vpp; ISCID, 0.0 eV; Hexapole RF, 50 Vpp; Quadrupole Ion Energy, 4.0 eV; Quadrupole Low Mass, 50 m/z; Collision Energy, 4.0eV; Pre Pulse SAR Storage 6.0 μs. The spectra rate was set to 10 Hz. Stepping was enabled with the following settings: Mode, basic; Collision RF from 300 to 700 Vpp; Transfer Time from 30 to 70 μs. Analyte fragmentation was performed in bB CID mode with the settings described, except for the following MS/MS settings:

- Collision Energy MS, 4.0 eV and MS/MS 25 eV. The instrument was calibrated externally before each sequence with a 1 mM sodium formate/acetate solution. Thirty-five clusters (NaHCO3Na), Na(CH3COONa), and Na(COOHNa)(COONa)$_{n}$ were selected and used for the instrument calibration. Mass range of the chosen clusters was from 90.976 to 948.8727 Da. For post-run mass calibration and processing of the data the software DataAnalysis 4.1 and Target Analysis 1.3 (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) were used.

**Liquid chromatography and triple quadrupole mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS)**

The amount of acrylfentanyl in the seized sample was quantified with high performance liquid chromatography and triple quadrupole mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) at the Department of Clinical Biochemistry (North Denmark Regional Hospital). Parameters for dynamic multiple reaction monitoring (dMRM) were optimized by flow injection analysis using a standard of acrylfentanyl, and finally added to an existing routine LC-MS/MS method for drugs-of-abuse (Table S1). As deuterated internal standard fentanyl-d5 was used. The parameters for sample preparation, data acquisition and quantification are shown in the Supporting Information.

**Results and discussion**

**GC-MS analysis**

Analysis by GC-MS showed a chromatogram (Figure 2) with a major peak at retention time (RT) 17.949 min. The EI mass spectrum (Figure 3B) could not be identified by library searches. A peak at RT 15.476 min was identified as N-phenyl-1-(2-phenylethyl)piperidin-4-amine, also called 4-anilino-N-phenethylpiperidine with abbreviation ANPP (A, Figure 4), by both the NIST11 and SWGDRUG MS library. ANPP is a precursor for illicit synthesis of fentanyl according to a method referred to as the ‘Siegfried method’ on the drug forum discussion forum Erowid.org[31] and a controlled substance in the United States. However, the synthetic precursor to ANPP, 1-(2-phenethyl)piperidin-4-one (also called N-phenethyl-piperidine with abbreviation NPP), was not identified through library searches or from extracted ion chromatograms of the base peak $m/z$ 112 after injection of a 2 mg/mL sample concentration. Minor peaks in the chromatogram (Figure 2) included impurities, which were also present in a blank solvent sample.

The presence of ANPP suggested that the unknown compound at RT 17.949 min could be a fentanyl analogue with a modification on the exocyclic amine (substitution of the propanol group in fentanyl with another moiety). A study by Ohta et al. on GC-MS analysis of fentanyl and its analogues found two important mass spectrometric characteristics for EI spectra: (1) an absence of molecular ions for most fentanyl analogues; and (2) diagnostic ions formed by loss of a tropylion ion (M-91), which in most cases formed the base peaks of the mass spectra.[32]

The base peak in the EI mass spectrum of RT 17.949 min was $m/z$ 243 (Figure 3). This theoretically corresponds to a molecular ion of $m/z$ 334 (243 + 91). Accordingly, the difference in molecular mass between fentanyl and the unknown compound was determined to 2 Da. This could be explained by introduction of a double bond in fentanyl (B, Figure 4) to give an $\alpha$-$\beta$-unsaturated fentanyl analogue (C, Figure 4). The mass spectrum of the unknown compound is remarkably similar to the mass spectrum of fentanyl (Figure 3A), acquired on
The chemical structures and IUPAC names of fentanyl, 55 despropionylfentanyl, hence detection of this compound in metabolites of fentanyl is ANPP, in this context known as be detected. It should be noted that one of the major human degradation with the GC-MS methods applied in the present study possible at high injection port temperatures. In a study of pyrolytic products from fentanyl, using a probe temperature of 750 °C under anaerobic conditions, minor signals from ANPP could be detected (1.9 area% of largest chromatographic peak). To test for pyrolytic degradation with the GC-MS methods applied in the present study (injection port temperature 250 °C), standards of both fentanyl and acrylfentanyl (100 μg/mL) were injected. However, no ANPP could be detected. It should be noted that one of the major human metabolites of fentanyl is ANPP, in this context known as despropionylfentanyl, hence detection of this compound in biological matrices does not indicate a specific synthetic pathway.

Fentanyl and acrylfentanyl can be fully baseline separated by GC analysis using the methods described above with RT 17.653 min for fentanyl and RT 17.839 min for acrylfentanyl.

The chemical structures and IUPAC names of fentanyl, acrylfentanyl and ANPP are depicted in Figure 4.

**Figure 2.** Total ion chromatogram from GC-MS analysis of the seized sample powder, dissolved in methanol (0.2 mg/mL), showing (A) N-phenyl-1-(2-phenylethyl)piperidin-4-amine (precursor for synthesis of fentanyl analogues) at RT 15.576 min; and (B) the unknown compound at RT 17.949 min, identified as N-phenyl-N-[1-(2-phenylethyl)piperidin-4-yl]prop-2-enamide (synonym: acrylfentanyl). The peak at RT 13.412 min is also present in a blank solvent sample.

**Analysis by NMR and IR spectroscopy**

The seized sample of acrylfentanyl was analysed by 1H- and 13C-NMR and signals were assigned based on COSY, HSQC and DEPT spectroscopy experiments. In addition, standards of fentanyl and acrylfentanyl hydrochloride were analysed by 1H-NMR and signals with those of acrylfentanyl. At 400 MHz in CD3OD a triplet could be observed at 1.37 ppm, which couples to an overlapping signal (COSY) at ~3.26 ppm (Figure S2). Most likely the triplet represents a CH3-group coupling to a CH2-group connected to an electronegative group. To identify the unknown entity a series of NMR experiments were performed in DMSO-d6 and CDCl3 (600 MHz instrument) in the hope that signal separation of acrylfentanyl and the unknown compound might be achieved. When the spectrum was recorded in CDCl3 there was no useful separation of the signals, however a broad singlet integrating for one proton at 12.75 ppm (Figure S6) indicated that the compound was an ammonium ion, most probable the hydrochloride salt as described in the Siegfried method. Of note is that another smaller peak from an ammonium ion was observed at 12.87 ppm suggesting that the unknown compound might also be a hydrochloride salt. When the spectrum was recorded in DMSO-d6 separation of the overlapping peaks was achieved (Figure S10). With full separation of the peaks we determined that the impurity was triethylamine hydrochloride (TEA×HCl). This was confirmed by recording the 13C-NMR spectrum of a standard of TEA×HCl in DMSO-d6 and comparing the spectrum to that of the seized sample (Figure S11). Furthermore, the 1H-NMR sample of the seized
powder of acrylfentanyl in DMSO-$d_6$ was spiked with a standard of N-phenyl-N-[1-(2-phenethyl)piperidin-4-yl]propanamide (fentanyl) at RT 17.949 min and the unknown compound, identified as N-phenyl-N-[1-(2-phenethyl)piperidin-4-yl]prop-2-enamide (acrylfentanyl) at RT 17.696 min. Background ions were subtracted for both spectra. Bond cleavage sites for key fragment ions are assigned according to Ohta et al.\textsuperscript{[32]}

The IR spectra of the seized and commercially acquired samples were recorded on the neat solids. Both samples clearly show the presence of an ammonium ion at $\sim$2400-2600 cm$^{-1}$ (Figures S13 and S14).

The presence of TEA×HCl in the seized sample most likely arises from a modification of the Siegfried method by utilising triethylamine as the base for the acetylation reaction instead of pyridine. In the last step of the Siegfried method the hydrochloride salt of fentanyl is generated. During the equivalent step in the synthesis of acrylfentanyl we hypothesise that the desired

Figure 3. Electron impact (EI) mass spectra acquired during GC-MS analysis of (A) a standard of N-phenyl-N-[1-(2-phenethyl)piperidin-4-yl]propanamide (fentanyl) at RT 17.949 min; and (B) the unknown compound, identified as N-phenyl-N-[1-(2-phenethyl)piperidin-4-yl]prop-2-enamide (acrylfentanyl) at RT 17.696 min. Background ions were subtracted for both spectra. Bond cleavage sites for key fragment ions are assigned according to Ohta et al.\textsuperscript{[32]}

Figure 4. Chemical structures. A) N-phenyl-1-(2-phenethyl)piperidin-4-amine (synthetic precursor); B) N-phenyl-N-[1-(2-phenethyl)piperidin-4-yl]propanamide (Fentanyl); C) N-phenyl-N-[1-(2-phenethyl)piperidin-4-yl]prop-2-enamide (synonym: acrylfentanyl).
TEA × HCl residue was generated in the final product. According to the Siegfried synthesis lactose is recommended as the dilution agent but no lactose or other dilution agents were observed by NMR or IR.

MALDI/Orbitrap MS

Accurate mass measurement by MALDI/Orbitrap MS found m/z 335.2114 for the protonated ion of the unknown compound [M + H]+ (Figure S3). This matches with the theoretical value for acrylfentanyl (m/z 335.2118 for C₂₂H₂₇N₂O⁺) within 1.1 ppm.

Product ion spectra (QTOF-MS)

High resolution precursor and product ion spectrum of fentanyl (C₂₂H₂₉N₂O), acquired with quadrupole time-of-flight mass (QTOF), are shown in Figure 6. The major fragment was m/z 188.1448. Figure 7 shows the precursor and product ion spectrum for acrylfentanyl (C₂₂H₂₆N₂O). A major fragment with m/z 188.1437 was found. In silico fragmentation of acrylfentanyl using the software ACD/MS Fragmenter (Advanced Chemistry Development, Toronto, Canada) shows a fragment with m/z 188.1434 with the proposed formula C₁₃H₁₈N. According to a study by Thevis et al.

Figure 5. ¹H-NMR spectrum of acrylfentanyl (CD₃OD, 400 MHz). Enlargement of the region where signals arising from the terminal alkene are observed. See supporting information for the complete ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra for acrylfentanyl and ¹H-NMR data for fentanyl.

Figure 6. Mass spectrum for precursor (+MS) (top) and product ions (+bbCID) (bottom) for fentanyl, (C₂₂H₂₉N₂O). The product ion at m/z 188.1448 corresponds to C₁₃H₁₈N, which is a well-known fragmentation pathway for fentanyl (cf. [35]).
on analysis of fentanyl this fragmentation is a charge-driven elimination of N-phenyl-propionimidic acid (M-149).\[35\]

**Quantitative analysis (LC-MS/MS)**

Analysis by LC-MS/MS determined the amount of acrylfentanyl (free base) in the seized powder, equal to 88.3 mass% of acrylfentanyl hydrochloride. This is in acceptable agreement with the impurity estimate from NMR spectroscopy (14 mass%).

The use of LC-MS/MS with multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) is complicated due to the co-elution of fentanyl (RT 5.102 min) and acrylfentanyl (RT 5.103 min). Within the resolution limits of a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer acrylfentanyl may cause interference with the detection of fentanyl due to the [M + H]+ + 2 isotope signals. The metabolites expected after human metabolism, norfentanyl and noracrylfentanyl, can also be difficult to separate by liquid chromatography, and hence necessitate the use of high-resolution mass spectrometry if both compounds are present in the sample.

**Previous studies**

Compounds equal in molecular structure to acrylfentanyl have previously been reported in the literature for opiate receptor affinity after synthesis,\[36\] as a theoretical derivative in mathematic modelling for drug design,\[37\] and for in vivo activity in mice after custom synthesis.\[38\] In the latter study, with the use of mouse hot plate tests, it was shown that acrylfentanyl was more potent than fentanyl and had a longer duration of action. A study by Zhu et al. on the synthesis and analgesic activity of 22 derivatives of fentanyl, including acrylfentanyl, is only available in Chinese.\[39\] No clinical study data are available for the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of acrylfentanyl in humans.

**Immunoadsay screening**

An aqueous solution of the seized powder (20 000 ng/mL) was tested with the immunoassay drug test described herein. All test results were negative. When the powder was tested on-site after the seizure, and a positive result for MDMA was displayed, the aqueous solution may have been saturated, not free from particles or otherwise incompatible with requirements of the urine testing device. Although some immunoassays for fentanyl may cross-react with acrylfentanyl (and prove useful), only a limited number of fentanyl assays have been critically validated for clinical use,\[40\] hence screening with LC-MS/MS or equivalent techniques are recommendable.

**Conclusion**

The new synthetic analogue (acrylfentanyl) of the potent opioid fentanyl was detected in a seized sample (powder) in Denmark. New synthetic opioids present a serious problem for public health due to their potency and risk of a fatal intoxication. Users of new synthetic opioids introduced to the illicit market have no certain knowledge about the presence of contaminants or cutting agents, which poses an additional risk to the opioid effect of the drug. Fentanyls can be absorbed through the skin and inhaled, introducing additional risks to individuals who come in contact with these drugs, such as package handlers and couriers who encounter fentanyl ordered online, family and friends of users, where these drugs are stored in peoples’ homes, law enforcement personnel and healthcare professionals in hospitals and drug treatment services. Our findings suggest that testing should be carried out for acrylfentanyl to monitor its emergence in samples presented by individual users and in post-mortem forensic analysis under circumstances suggesting intoxication by an opioid. This could possibly prevent a spike in deaths as previously seen with acetylfentanyl.
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