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ABSTRACT

Several compounds have been identified that display low-efficiency, “partial substrate” activity. Here, we tested the hypothesis that the mechanism of this effect is a slower rate of induced neurotransmitter efflux than that produced by full substrates. Biogenic amine transporter release assays were carried out in rat brain synaptosomes and followed published procedures. [3H]MPP⁺/H11001 and [3H]5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) was used to assess release from dopamine (DA) and norepinephrine nerve terminals, whereas [3H]5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) was used to assess release from 5-HT nerve terminals. A detailed time-course evaluation of DA transporter (DAT)-mediated efflux was conducted by measuring the efflux of [3H]MPP⁺/H11001 after the addition of various test compounds. In vivo microdialysis experiments compared the effects of the full substrates [(±)-1-(2-naphthyl)propan-2-amine (PAL-287) and (S)-N-methyl-1-(2-naphthyl)propan-2-amine (PAL-1045)] on extracellular DA and 5-HT in the nucleus accumbens of the rat. The in vitro release assays demonstrated that partial substrate activity occurs at all three transporters. In the DAT efflux experiments, D-amphetamine (full substrate) promoted a fast efflux (K1 = 0.24 min⁻¹) and a slow efflux (K2 = 0.008 min⁻¹). For the partial DAT substrates, K1 = 0.04 min⁻¹, and K2 approximated zero. The in vivo microdialysis experiments showed that the partial substrate (PAL-1045) was much less effective in elevating extracellular DA and 5-HT than the comparator full substrates. We conclude that low-efficacy partial DAT substrates promote efflux at a slower rate than full substrates, and “partiality” reflects the ultra-slow K2 constant, which functionally limits the ability of these compounds to increase extracellular DA. We speculate that partial biogenic amine transporter substrates bind to the transporter but are less effective in inducing conformational changes required for reverse transport activity.

Introduction

The biogenic amine transporters (BATs) that translocate dopamine (DA), norepinephrine (NE), and serotonin belong to the sodium-dependent symporter family of transporters and are subject to numerous comprehensive reviews, two of which are cited here (Torres et al., 2003; Kanner and Zomot, 2008). The main function of these transporters is to help terminate the action of neurotransmitters released via exocytosis in response to nerve impulses. Drugs that interact with the BATs can be broadly categorized as either uptake inhibitors or releasers. Uptake inhibitors, also described as BAT inhibitors, bind to the transporter, are not transported with the BATs can be broadly categorized as either uptake inhibitors or releasers. Uptake inhibitors, also described as BAT inhibitors, bind to the transporter, are not transported with the transporter but are less effective in inducing conformational changes required for reverse transport activity.

The mechanisms of countertransport, originally described as the alternating access model (Jardetzky, 1966), are com-
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plex and not completely understood. Studies of the crystal-
lized bacterial transporter for LeuTaa have provided new
information as to how this process likely functions (Ya-
mashita et al., 2005). As described elsewhere (Shi et al., 2008;
Nyola et al., 2010) Na⁺ binds to the transporter, helping to
maintain the transporter in an outward-facing conformation.
The subsequent binding of a substrate to an S1 site leads to
an intermediate “occluded” transporter state. The binding of
substrate to a second S2 site promotes a conformation change
to an inward-facing transporter conformation and the intra-
cellular release of substrate and Na⁺. The increased concen-
tration of internal cellular sodium at the transporter also
facilitates reverse transport of dopamine (Goodwin et al.,
2009; Pilfi et al., 2009). Recent data suggest that the model
developed on the basis of the LeuTaa transporter may also
apply to the biogenic amine transporters (Schmitt et al.,
2010). In addition, other mechanisms, such as receptor phos-
phorylation and substrate-induced currents, can affect sub-
strate-mediated neurotransmitter efflux (Sitte and Freiss-
muth, 2010).

These considerations, and the fact that BATs can adopt
different functionally significant conformational states (Fer-
rer and Javitch, 1998; Reith et al., 2001; Gether et al., 2006),
raise the possibility that both BAT substrates and BAT in-
hibitors will not always interact with transporters in a man-
ner consistent with simple competitive models. Consistent
with this idea, we have previously identified allosteric mod-
ulators of the DA transporter (DAT) that reduce the \( E_{\text{MAX}} \)
value for d-amphetamine-induced, DAT-mediated release of
\([^{3}H]\text{MPP}^{+}\), while producing minimal increases in the \( E_{C_{50}} \)
value (Rothman et al., 2009). In addition, we recently re-
ported that certain 5-HT transporter (SERT) inhibitors de-
crease the efficacy of substrate-mediated release of \([^{3}H]\text{5-HT} \)
from rat brain synaptosomes (Rothman et al., 2010). These
findings, along with data published by Gobbi et al. (2008),
suggest that BAT substrates might differ in their efficacy for
promoting neurotransmitter release. Given the complex na-
ture of the carrier-mediated exchange process, this is per-
haps not surprising.

In the present study we report the identification of low-
efficacy “partial substrates” for DAT, SERT, and NE trans-
porter (NET) and provide data supporting the hypothesis
that these compounds display partial release characteristics
in the in vitro assay because they induce efflux of neurotrans-
mitter at a slower rate than full substrates.

## Materials and Methods

### Animals
Male Sprague-Dawley rats (Charles River Laboratories,
Inc., Wilmington, MA) weighing 300 to 400 g were used as subjects in these experiments. Rats were housed in standard conditions (lights on from 7:00 AM to 7:00 PM) with food and water freely available. Animals were maintained in facilities fully accredited by the Asso-
ciation for the Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care, and experiments were performed in accordance with the Insti-
tutional Care and Use Committee of the National Institute on Drug Abuse Intramural Research Program.

### Drugs and Reagents
\([^{3}H]\text{MPP}^{+} \) (SA = 85 Ci/mmole), \([^{3}H]\text{5-HT} \)
(SA = 27.5 Ci/mmole), and \([^{3}H]\text{DA} \) (SA = 31.8
Ci/mmole) were purchased from PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences (Waltham, MA). The sources of other reagents were as published previously (Rothman et al., 2001; Baumann et al., 2008; Pariser et al., 2008; Zolowska et al., 2009). Compounds from a phenethyl-
amine library (PAL compounds) used here were synthesized in the laboratory of Dr. B. E. Blough and will be described in detail in a subsequent publication.

### In Vitro Release Methods
Transporter-mediated release assays were carried out as described previously with minor modifications (Rothman et al., 2003). Rats were sacrificed by CO₂ asphyxiation. Tis-
tue from caudate (for DAT assay) or whole brain minus cerebellum and caudate (for SERT and NET assay) was homogenized in ice-cold 10% sucrose containing 1 µM reserpine. For DAT-mediated release assays \([^{3}H]\text{1-methyl-4-phenylpyridinium (\text{[\text{H]}MPP}^{+})} \) was used as the radiola-
bled substrate; 100 nM desipramine and 100 nM citalopram were added to prevent uptake of \([^{3}H]\text{MPP}^{+} \) into NE and 5-HT nerves. For SERT-mediated release assays, \([^{3}H]\text{5-HT} \) was used as the radiolabeled
substrate; 100 nM nomifensine and 50 nM 1-(2-(diphenylmethoxy) ethyl)-4-(3-phenylpropyl)piperazine (GR12935) were added to the su-
crose solution to prevent uptake of \([^{3}H]\text{5-HT} \) into NE and DA nerve terminals. For the NET-mediated release assay, 50 nM GR12935 and 100 nM citalopram were added to block \([^{3}H]\text{MPP}^{+} \) uptake into DA and 5-HT nerves. Synaptosomal preparations were incubated to steady
state with 5 nM \([^{3}H]\text{MPP}^{+} \) (60 min) or 5 nM \([^{3}H]\text{5-HT} \) (60 min in Krebs-phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, plus 1 µM reserpine. Subsequently, 850 µl of synaptosomes preloaded with \([^{3}H]\text{ligand were added to poly-
styrene test tubes that contained 150 µl of test drug in assay buffer plus
1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin. After 5 min \((^{3}H]\text{5-HT} \) or 30 min \((^{3}H]\text{MPP}^{+} \) \) the release reaction was terminated by dilution with 4 ml of wash buffer followed by rapid vacuum filtration. Nonspecific values were measured by incubations in the presence of either 100 µM ty-
ramine (\([^{3}H]\text{5-HT} \) release assay) or 10 µM tyramine (\([^{3}H]\text{MPP}^{+} \) release assays). The retained tritium was counted by a Topcount liquid scintil-
cation counter (PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences).

As noted above, the standard \([^{3}H]\text{MPP}^{+} \) release assays for DAT
were terminated after 30 min. In other experiments we measured the
time course of drug-induced efflux of \([^{3}H]\text{MPP}^{+} \). For these experi-
ments, synaptosomes were preloaded with \([^{3}H]\text{MPP}^{+} \) for 60 min. A “time 0” point was filtered, test drugs were then added, and samples were filtered at various time points up to 120 min. Control samples were also filtered at the same time points. Similar experi-
ments were not done for SERT and NET, because the signal-to-noise
ratio of these assays is not adequate for this type of experiment.

### Data Analysis and Statistics
For release experiments, dose-
response curves were generated by using eight concentrations of test
drug. To describe the method for calculating the release dose-re-
sponse curves, the following definitions are necessary: total bind-
ing = cpm in the absence of any drug; nonspecific binding (NS) = cpm in the presence of tyramine; maximal release (MR) = total
binding – NS; specific release (SR) = (cpm in the presence of drug) – NS; and percentage of maximal release = 100 × SR/MR × 100.

The data of three experiments, expressed as percentage of maximal
release, were then fit to a dose-response curve model: \( Y = E_{\text{MAX}} \times \frac{(D/I)(D) + EC_{50}}{EC_{50}} \), where \( E_{\text{MAX}} \) is the best-fit estimates of the \( E_{\text{MAX}} \) and \( EC_{50} \) values
by using either KaleidaGraph version 3.6.4 (Synergy Software, Read-
ing, PA) or MLAB-PC (Civilized Software, Silver Spring, MD) (Night-
ingale et al., 2005). In “shift” experiments, a substrate dose-response
curve was generated in the absence and presence of an uptake inhibitor. Apparent \( K_{s} \) values were calculated according to the equation: \( \text{test drug}/(EC_{50} - EC_{500} - 1) \), where \( EC_{500} \) is the \( EC_{50} \) value in the presence of the test drug, and \( EC_{500} \) is the value in the absence of the uptake inhibitor.

For the \([^{3}H]\text{MPP}^{+} \) efflux experiments, the data are expressed as a percentage of control = SR/MR × 100. The data of three independent experiments were pooled and fit to one- and two-component dissoci-
atiom models by using MLAB-PC as described elsewhere (Rothman et
al., 1991)). Graphs were generated with KaleidaGraph 3.6 software.

For certain kinetic experiments, two sets of data (dataset \( a \) and dataset \( b \) ) were simultaneously fit (using MLAB-PC) to the two-
component dissociation model by using the following equations:
\[
Y = A_1 \times e^{-k_1 t} + A_2 \times e^{-k_2 t} \quad (1)
\]
Two different constraint conditions were used: 1) unconstrained, and 2) four parameters of set α = four parameters of set β (A1 = A3, A2 = A4, K1 = K3, K2 = K4). An F-test was calculated based on the sum of squares for each of the constraint conditions. The threshold for significance was set at \( p < 0.01 \) (Nandi et al., 2004).

### Results

Table 1 shows the EC\(_{50}\) and \( E_{\text{MAX}} \) values for DAT+, SERT- and NET-mediated released. \( \beta \)-Amphetamine, (±)-1-(2-naphthyl)propan-2-amine (PAL-287), and its methyl analog, (S)-N-methyl-1-(2-naphthyl)propan-2-amine (PAL-1046), were three examples of “full” substrates. These compounds had \( E_{\text{MAX}} \) values of ~100% at all three transporters. (S)-N-ethyl-1-(2-naphthyl)propan-2-amine (PAL-1045), the ethyl analog of PAL-287, was a partial substrate at the DAT and SERT, but not the NET. In contrast, PAL-192 and PAL-193 were selective partial substrates at the DAT, with \( E_{\text{MAX}} \) values of 61 ± 2 and 65 ± 2%. PAL-153, PAL-175, and PAL-179 had no activity at the DAT and NET, but were partial substrates at the SERT. PAL-874 was a partial substrate at the NET (\( E_{\text{MAX}} = 75 \pm 2\% \)), inactive at the SERT, and a full substrate at the DAT. PAL-218 was a partial substrate at all three transporters. 4-Methyl-thioamphetamine (PAL-1063) and N,N-dimethyl-4-methyl-thioamphetamine (PAL-1062) are two compounds studied by Gobbi et al. (2008) that showed partial release characteristics in a \(^{3}H\)5-HT synaptosomal release assay. Consistent with their observations, we observed that PAL-1062 is a partial substrate at the SERT, although in our assay system PAL-1063 was a full substrate. Overall, these data indicate that partial substrate activity can be observed at all three transporters and compounds can be a partial substrate at one transporter while being a full substrate at another.

We next conducted efflux studies to test the hypothesis that partial substrates induce efflux of neurotransmitter at a slower rate than full substrates. These experiments focused solely on the DAT-mediated efflux of \(^{3}H\)MPP\(^+\) because the SERT and NET assays did not have a sufficiently adequate signal-to-noise ratio for these experiments. Efflux data were collected over a 2-h time period after the addition of test compound because control experiments indicated that a stable baseline was maintained during this interval. Figure 1 shows the time course for DAT-mediated efflux of \(^{3}H\)MPP\(^+\) induced by the full substrates \( \beta \)-amphetamine (Fig. 1A) and PAL-1046 (Fig. 1B). The highest concentration of each drug produced almost complete efflux over the 2-h time frame of the efflux experiment. The entire set of data for each drug was simultaneously fit to one- and two-component dissociation models, with the two-component model fitting significantly better than the one-component model (\( p < 0.01 \)). The best-fit parameters of the two-component model are shown in Table 2. The results observed here for \( \beta \)-amphetamine are qualitatively similar to those reported in our previous article (Rothman et al., 2009), although there are differences in the rate constants, especially with the lower concentrations of \( \beta \)-amphetamine. This probably derives from the relatively low signal-to-noise ratio of this method. As observed in our previous study for \( \beta \)-amphetamine (Rothman et al., 2009), both \( \beta \)-amphetamine and PAL-1046 increased A1, the proportion of the faster dissociating component, in a dose-dependent manner. The rate constants observed with the highest concentration of PAL-1046 were similar to that observed with 100 nM \( \beta \)-amphetamine: K1 = −0.25 min\(^{-1}\) and K2 = \( \sim 0.010 \) min\(^{-1}\). In contrast to our previous efflux studies with \( \beta \)-amphetamine (Rothman et al., 2009), in which \( \beta \)-amphetamine did not alter the rate constants, \( \beta \)-amphetamine increased K1 in a dose-dependent manner.

Figure 2 shows the time course for DAT-mediated efflux of \(^{3}H\)MPP\(^+\) induced by PAL-1045 (Fig. 2A) and PAL-738 (Fig. 2B), which are substrates with \( E_{\text{MAX}} \) values of 78 ± 5 and 70 ± 8%, respectively. In contrast to the effects of \( \beta \)-amphetamine and PAL-1046, the highest concentration of each drug did not produce complete efflux over the 2-h observation period. Instead, the efflux curve seemed to plateau. The entire set of data for each drug was simultaneously fit to one- and two-component dissociation models, with the two-component model fitting significantly better than the one-component model. The best-fit parameters of the two-component model are shown in Table 2. As observed for \( \beta \)-amphetamine and PAL-1046, these compounds increased A1 in a dose-dependent manner. The fast rate constant (K1) observed for the highest concentrations of PAL-1045 and PAL-738 (~0.06 min\(^{-1}\)) was significantly lower than that observed for the highest concentrations of the full substrates \( \beta \)-amphetamine and PAL-1046 (~0.2 min\(^{-1}\)). Moreover, the slow rate constant (K2) observed for the highest concentrations of PAL-1045 (~0.001 min\(^{-1}\)) was approximately 10-fold lower than that observed for the highest concentrations of the full substrates \( \beta \)-amphetamine and PAL-1046 (~0.01 min\(^{-1}\)). Within the experimental error, the K2 values for PAL-192 and PAL-193 were essentially zero.

Figure 3 shows the time course for DAT-mediated efflux of \(^{3}H\)MPP\(^+\) induced by PAL-192 (Fig. 3A) and PAL-193 (Fig.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>DAT</th>
<th></th>
<th>SERT</th>
<th></th>
<th>NET</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EC\textsubscript{50}</td>
<td>EC\textsubscript{MAX}</td>
<td>EC\textsubscript{50}</td>
<td>EC\textsubscript{MAX}</td>
<td>EC\textsubscript{50}</td>
<td>EC\textsubscript{MAX}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>nM ± S.D.</td>
<td>% ± S.D.</td>
<td>nM ± S.D.</td>
<td>% ± S.D.</td>
<td>nM ± S.D.</td>
<td>% ± S.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Amphetamine</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>6.4 ± 1</td>
<td>103 ± 5</td>
<td>1960 ± 140\textsuperscript{a}</td>
<td>104 ± 2</td>
<td>7.4 ± 2.42</td>
<td>101 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL-192 (++)-MDE</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>622 ± 121</td>
<td>61 ± 2\textsuperscript{*}</td>
<td>47 ± 10</td>
<td>94 ± 4</td>
<td>2608 ± 537</td>
<td>85 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL-193 (++)-MDE</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>507 ± 83</td>
<td>65 ± 2\textsuperscript{*}</td>
<td>52 ± 9</td>
<td>97 ± 4</td>
<td>651 ± 117</td>
<td>93 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL-194 (--) -MDE</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>Uptake inhibitor</td>
<td>465 ± 69</td>
<td>89 ± 3\textsuperscript{*}</td>
<td>Uptake inhibitor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL-287\textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>15.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>102 ± 1</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>107 ± 3</td>
<td>9.8 ± 1.5</td>
<td>96 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL-1046</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>10 ± 1</td>
<td>101 ± 3</td>
<td>13 ± 2</td>
<td>103 ± 5</td>
<td>34 ± 3</td>
<td>98 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL-1045</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>46 ± 11</td>
<td>78 ± 5\textsuperscript{*}</td>
<td>12 ± 2</td>
<td>66 ± 2\textsuperscript{*}</td>
<td>137 ± 30</td>
<td>94 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL-218</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>1207 ± 118</td>
<td>66 ± 1\textsuperscript{*}</td>
<td>26 ± 7</td>
<td>85 ± 4\textsuperscript{*}</td>
<td>56 ± 12</td>
<td>62 ± 3\textsuperscript{*}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL-738</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>58 ± 10</td>
<td>78 ± 2\textsuperscript{*}</td>
<td>23 ± 2</td>
<td>96 ± 2</td>
<td>65 ± 12</td>
<td>89 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL-153</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>&gt;10,000</td>
<td>561 ± 172</td>
<td>54 ± 3\textsuperscript{*}</td>
<td>&gt;10,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL-175</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>&gt;10,000</td>
<td>43 ± 16</td>
<td>57 ± 4\textsuperscript{*}</td>
<td>&gt;10,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL-179</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>&gt;10,000</td>
<td>33 ± 9</td>
<td>66 ± 3\textsuperscript{*}</td>
<td>&gt;10,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
which are substrates with $E_{\text{MAX}}$ values of 61 ± 2 and 65 ± 2%, respectively. In contrast to the effects of D-amphetamine and PAL-1046 (Fig. 1), the efflux curves produced by PAL-192 and PAL-193 seemed to plateau at all three concentrations tested. As reported above for PAL-1045 and PAL-738, the two-component model fit the efflux data significantly better than the one-component model. Increasing concentrations of PAL-192 and PAL-193 increased the A1 value in a dose-dependent manner, but did not alter the fast rate constant (K1), which with a value of $0.04 \text{ min}^{-1}$, was significantly slower than that observed with the highest concentrations of PAL-1045 and PAL-738 ($0.06 \text{ min}^{-1}$). The slow rate (K2) for PAL-192 and for PAL-193 did not differ significantly from zero.

The ultra-low or zero K2 value observed for the partial substrates PAL-1045, PAL-738, PAL-192, and PAL-193 indicates that there will always be a component of efflux that is so slow that the overall efflux curve plateaus. When conducting a release dose-response experiment, samples are routinely filtered at 30 min, providing a time slice of an efflux curve. The fact that the efflux curves of the partial substrates plateau could therefore explain the $E_{\text{MAX}}$ values less than 100% in the release dose-response curves generated by these compounds (Table 1). At a 30-min filtration time, even high concentrations of the partial substrates would not have produced complete efflux, resulting in an $E_{\text{MAX}}$ value <100%. To test this hypothesis, we generated release dose-response curves and filtered the samples at the standard 30-min time point and also at a 60-min time point. The prediction of this experiment is that the $E_{\text{MAX}}$ value observed at 60 min would be higher than the $E_{\text{MAX}}$ observed at 30 min.

Figure 4 shows the results obtained with PAL-192 (Fig. 4A) and PAL-193 (Fig. 4B). The $E_{\text{MAX}}$ values of PAL-192 and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>DAT</th>
<th>SERT</th>
<th>NET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$EC_{50}$</td>
<td>$E_{\text{MAX}}$</td>
<td>$EC_{50}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL-874</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>nM ± S.D.</td>
<td>% ± S.D.</td>
<td>nM ± S.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL-1062</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>688 ± 110</td>
<td>92 ± 3</td>
<td>&gt;10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL-1063</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>75 ± 37</td>
<td>51 ± 4*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL-874</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>21 ± 3</td>
<td>93 ± 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data taken from Rothman et al. (2001).

a Data taken from Rothman et al. (2005). These results differ slightly from the published values because the original data set was fit to a two-parameter logistic equation rather than the dose-response curve equation.

b PAL-194 was an uptake inhibitor at DAT (IC$_{50}$ = 4256 ± 191 nM) and NET (IC$_{50}$ = 3973 ± 455 nM).

c Data taken from Rothman et al. (2005). These results differ slightly from the published values because the original data set was fit to a two-parameter logistic equation rather than the dose-response curve equation.

* $P < 0.05$ compared with 100 ± 5% (DAT, SERT) and 100 ± 10% (NET) using a one-sample Student’s t test. In this test, the test is between the observed $E_{\text{MAX}}$ (± S.D.) and 100% (average S.D. observed in these assays).

Fig. 1. Effect of D-amphetamine (A) and PAL-1046 (B) on DAT-mediated [3H]MPP$^+$ efflux. Efflux experiments were conducted as described under Materials and Methods. The data were fit to a biexponential decay equation, and the best-fit estimates of the four parameters are shown in Table 3. Each point is the mean ± S.D. ($n = 3$).
TABLE 2
Kinetic analysis of substrate-induced DAT-mediated [3H]MPP⁺ efflux
The data of three experiments (n = 3) were pooled (n = 117 data points) and fit simultaneously to either the one- or two-component model. In all cases, the two-component model fit the data better than the one-component model (P < 0.001). For the full substrates (d-amphetamine, PAL-1046, and PAL-738) a Student’s t test (unpaired), using the parameter value and its S.D. (GraphPad online t test calculator; GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA), was used to test statistical significance between parameter values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A1</th>
<th>K1</th>
<th>A2</th>
<th>K2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% ± S.D.</td>
<td>min⁻¹</td>
<td>% ± S.D.</td>
<td>min⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d-Amphetamine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 nM</td>
<td>56 ± 3</td>
<td>0.064 ± 0.008</td>
<td>45 ± 4</td>
<td>0.0016 ± 0.0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 nM</td>
<td>79 ± 3*</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.01*</td>
<td>21 ± 3*</td>
<td>0.0023 ± 0.0015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 nM</td>
<td>84 ± 2*</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.02*#</td>
<td>15 ± 2*</td>
<td>0.0078 ± 0.0020*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL-1046</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 nM</td>
<td>23 ± 9</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.08</td>
<td>78 ± 9</td>
<td>0.0030 ± 0.0016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 nM</td>
<td>63 ± 8*</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.05</td>
<td>35 ± 7*</td>
<td>0.0050 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 nM</td>
<td>79 ± 8*</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.07</td>
<td>20 ± 8*</td>
<td>0.012 ± 0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL-1045</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 nM</td>
<td>26 ± 65</td>
<td>0.025 ± 0.062</td>
<td>79 ± 67</td>
<td>0.0016 ± 0.0060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 nM</td>
<td>51 ± 17</td>
<td>0.051 ± 0.025</td>
<td>52 ± 18</td>
<td>0.0024 ± 0.0036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1250 nM</td>
<td>84 ± 13</td>
<td>0.058 ± 0.015</td>
<td>19 ± 14</td>
<td>0.00094 ± 0.0070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL-738</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 nM</td>
<td>18 ± 4</td>
<td>0.073 ± 0.029</td>
<td>81 ± 4</td>
<td>0.0018 ± 0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 nM</td>
<td>49 ± 6*</td>
<td>0.060 ± 0.10</td>
<td>48 ± 6*</td>
<td>0.0035 ± 0.0013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1250 nM</td>
<td>73 ± 8*</td>
<td>0.069 ± 0.008</td>
<td>25 ± 8*#</td>
<td>0.0060 ± 0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL-192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600 nM</td>
<td>39 ± 29</td>
<td>0.024 ± 0.017</td>
<td>64 ± 29</td>
<td>0.000 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000 nM</td>
<td>70 ± 21</td>
<td>0.027 ± 0.009</td>
<td>32 ± 22</td>
<td>0.000 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15,000 nM</td>
<td>91 ± 15</td>
<td>0.032 ± 0.006</td>
<td>13 ± 15</td>
<td>0.000 ± 0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL-193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600 nM</td>
<td>28 ± 36</td>
<td>0.027 ± 0.036</td>
<td>76 ± 36</td>
<td>0.001 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000 nM</td>
<td>67 ± 25</td>
<td>0.030 ± 0.013</td>
<td>38 ± 26</td>
<td>0.001 ± 0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15,000 nM</td>
<td>86 ± 17</td>
<td>0.036 ± 0.009</td>
<td>16 ± 18</td>
<td>0.0003 ± 0.009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* P < 0.01 compared with the corresponding parameter of the lowest concentration of test drug.
# P < 0.01 compared with the corresponding parameter of the middle concentration of test drug.

For the partial substrates (PAL-192, PAL-193, and PAL-1045), the t tests among individual parameters were all nonsignificant, so the F-test, as described under Materials and Methods, was used to test for statistical significance between corresponding curves. For all of these drugs, each curve was statistically different from the other curves (P < 0.0001).

Fig. 2. Effect of PAL-1045 (A) and PAL-738 (B) on DAT-mediated [3H]MPP⁺ efflux. Efflux experiments were conducted as described under Materials and Methods. The data were fit to a biexponential decay equation, and the best-fit estimates of the four parameters are shown in Table 3. Each point is the mean ± S.D. (n = 3).

PAL-193 at the 30-min time point were 61 ± 1 and 65%, respectively. At the 60-min time point, however, the E_MAX values were 88 ± 1 and 89 ± 1%, respectively. Similar results were obtained for [3H]5-HT release using PAL-153 (Fig. 5A) and PAL-175 (Fig. 5B), except that samples were filtered at the standard 5-min time point as well as at 15 min. The E_MAX values of PAL-153 and PAL-175 at the 5-min time point were 54 ± 3 and 57 ± 4%, respectively. At the 15-min time point, however, the E_MAX values were 75 ± 2 and 80 ± 3%, respectively. An analogous experiment that measured NET-mediated [3H]MPP⁺ release (Fig. 6) using PAL-218 showed that the E_MAX value increased from 62 ± 3% at the standard filtration time of 30 min to 76 ± 3% at 60 min.

To confirm that the agents studied here were BAT substrates, we conducted “shift” experiments in which a substrate dose-response curve was generated in the absence and presence of a fixed concentration of a known BAT inhibitor. The expected result is that the BAT inhibitor will shift the...
substrate dose-response curve to the right, thereby permitting calculation of a $K_e$ value according to standard pharmacological equations. An example of such an experiment is shown in Fig. 7 for D-amphetamine-induced release of $[^3H]MPP^+$ from dopaminergic nerve terminals. Both cocaine (1 μM) and 1-[2-[bis(4-fluorophenyl)methoxy]ethyl]-4-(3-phenylpropyl)piperazine (GBR12909) (0.5 nM) shifted the D-amphetamine curve to the right without changing the $E_{\text{MAX}}$ value. The results obtained from similar experiments are shown in Table 3. For all of the drugs tested, cocaine and GBR12909 shifted the curves to the right without significantly affecting the $E_{\text{MAX}}$ values, which is consistent with these PAL compounds being substrates. It is noteworthy that the $K_e$ value of cocaine was not the same for each substrate. Rather, the $K_e$ value ranged from 54 nM for PAL-193 to 335 nM for PAL-1045. A similar pattern was observed for GBR12909. In this case, the $K_e$ value ranged from 0.038 nM for PAL-193 to 1.26 nM for PAL-1045. The $K_e$ values of cocaine, ranked by order of potency, were: PAL-193 > PAL-192 > PAL-287 > D-amphetamine > PAL-1045 = PAL-1046. The $K_e$ values of GBR12909, ranked by order of potency, were similar: PAL-193 > PAL-192 > D-amphetamine > PAL-287 > PAL-1046 > PAL-1045. The $K_e$ values of cocaine and GBR12909 were highly correlated (data not shown; $r^2 = 0.74$, $p = 0.03$).

In vivo microdialysis experiments determined the effects of saline (SAL), PAL-287 (full substrate at DAT/SERT), PAL-1046 (full substrate at DAT/SERT), and PAL-1045 (partial substrate at DAT/SERT) on extracellular DA and 5-HT in the nucleus accumbens. As shown in Fig. 8A, administration of...
these drugs produced a highly significant elevation of extracellular DA ($F_{\text{drug effect}} = 32.9$, $p < 0.001$; $F_{\text{time}} = 18.8$, $p < 0.001$; $F_{\text{interaction}} = 4.77$, $p < 0.001$). Figure 8B shows the peak effects produced by drug administration (1 and 3 mg/kg). Both PAL-287 and PAL-1046 produced a dose-dependent increase in DA. However, the partial substrate PAL-1045 had a "flat" dose-response curve, and its peak effect at 3 mg/kg was significantly less than that of the full substrate PAL-1046.

Similar results were observed for horizontal locomotor activity (Fig. 10). Drug administration produced a highly significant increase in horizontal locomotor activity (Fig. 10A) ($F_{\text{drug effect}} = 39.9$, $p < 0.001$; $F_{\text{time}} = 20.0$, $p < 0.001$; $F_{\text{interaction}} = 4.84$, $p < 0.001$). Figure 10B reports the peak effects produced by drug administration (1 and 3 mg/kg). Both PAL-287 and PAL-1046 produced a dose-dependent increase in horizontal locomotor activity. However, PAL-1045 had a "flat" dose-response curve, and its peak effect at 3 mg/kg was significantly less than that of PAL-1046 and PAL-287. Figure 11 shows the effect of drug administration on stereotypy. Drug administration produced a significant increase in repetitive movements (Fig. 11A).
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(F_{\text{drug effect}} = 23.0, p < 0.001; F_{\text{time}} = 14.0, p < 0.001; F_{\text{interaction}} = 2.71, p < 0.001). Modest significant increases in stereotypy were observed at the 3 mg/kg doses for all test drugs. Differences in the effects of PAL-1045 and PAL-1046 were not statistically significant.

**Discussion**

As noted in the *Introduction*, BAT ligands are classically divided into uptake inhibitors and releasers. Releasers are BAT substrates, and the inward transport of a BAT substrate, together with Na$^+$ and Cl$^-$, leads to the countercurrent transport of endogenous neurotransmitter out of the nerve terminal. Resolution of the structure of the bacterial LeuT$_{AA}$ transporter (Yamashita et al., 2005; Shi et al., 2008) identified two substrate binding sites (S1 and S2), suggesting that the BATs might also possess two substrate binding sites, where the binding of a substrate to S2 triggers the release of substrate bound to S1 and Na$^+$ into the intracellular compartment (Nyola et al., 2010; Schmitt et al., 2010). These findings emphasize the importance of conformational changes to transporter function (Ferrer and Javitch, 1998; Reith et al., 2001; Gether et al., 2006) and raise the possibility that the actions of the two BAT binding sites might differ. Data in the literature support this idea. For example, Goodwin et al. (2009) reported that methamphetamine inhibited DAT-mediated dopamine clearance more efficiently than amphetamine. Moreover, we recently reported that some SERT inhibitors decrease the efficacy of substrate-mediated release of $[^3]$H$^5$-HT from rat brain synaptosomes (Rothman et al.,

---

**TABLE 3**


Eight point dose-response curves were generated for DAT-mediated $[^3]$H$^5$-HT release in the absence and presence of the indicated blockers (cocaine and GBR12909). The data (n = 3) were fit to the dose-response equation as described under Materials and Methods for the best-fit estimates of the EC$_{50}$ (nM ± S.D.) and E$_{\text{MAX}}$ (± S.D.) values. Apparent K$_s$ values (nM) were calculated as described under Materials and Methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>No Blocker</th>
<th>Cocaine, 1.0 μM</th>
<th>GBR12909, 0.5 nM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D-Amphetamine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC$_{50}$</td>
<td>6.4 ± 1.0</td>
<td>40 ± 6</td>
<td>21 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E$_{\text{MAX}}$</td>
<td>102 ± 5</td>
<td>107 ± 4</td>
<td>107 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_s$</td>
<td>190 ± 28</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL-192</td>
<td>644 ± 128</td>
<td>5546 ± 1527</td>
<td>5818 ± 833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC$_{50}$</td>
<td>77 ± 3</td>
<td>56 ± 5$^*$</td>
<td>70 ± 3$^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E$_{\text{MAX}}$</td>
<td>131 ± 36</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.0009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_s$</td>
<td>202 ± 75</td>
<td>3917 ± 734</td>
<td>2878 ± 385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL-193</td>
<td>70 ± 5</td>
<td>53 ± 3$^*$</td>
<td>62 ± 2$^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC$_{50}$</td>
<td>97 ± 5</td>
<td>108 ± 7</td>
<td>102 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E$_{\text{MAX}}$</td>
<td>175 ± 35</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_s$</td>
<td>106 ± 19</td>
<td>422 ± 99</td>
<td>148 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL-1045</td>
<td>84 ± 3</td>
<td>79 ± 4</td>
<td>81 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC$_{50}$</td>
<td>335 ± 78</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E$_{\text{MAX}}$</td>
<td>15 ± 4</td>
<td>64 ± 12</td>
<td>29 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_s$</td>
<td>100 ± 5</td>
<td>101 ± 4</td>
<td>100 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAL-1046</td>
<td>306 ± 57</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^*$ P < 0.05 compared with the E$_{\text{MAX}}$ value of the “no blocker” condition (Student’s t test).
over the course of evaluating several hundred members of the PAL library, only a few drugs were noted to be partial substrates. 

To confirm that compounds classified as BAT substrates are indeed releasers, we conducted “substrate reversal” experiments as described elsewhere (Rothman et al., 2002). In these experiments an −ED_{50} dose of test drug was assayed in the appropriate release assay in the absence and presence of a blocking concentration of an appropriate uptake inhibitor, which by itself has minimal effect in the release assay. If the test drug is a releaser, its effect will be attenuated. All of the compounds described in Table 1 were confirmed as being substrates and also indicate greater efficacy than p-chloramphetamine. An earlier study also reported that m-chlorophenylpiperazine and 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine were partial SERT substrates (Gobbi et al., 2002). The data reported in the present study confirm and extend these observations. We report a wide range of partial BAT substrates and show that the partial release profile of these agents probably results from a slower rate of neurotransmitter efflux than is observed with full substrates.

Fig. 10. Effect of drug administration on horizontal locomotor activity. These data were gathered concurrently with the experiments shown in Figs. 8 and 9. A, the time course. Arrows indicate time of drug administration. Two-way ANOVA revealed a highly significant increase in horizontal locomotor activity (HAL) (F_{drug effect} = 23.0, p < 0.001; F_{time} = 14.0, p < 0.001; F_{interaction} = 2.71, p < 0.001). B, the mean effect observed in the three samples after each injection. Each value is the mean ± S.E.M. (n = 7–8). ∗, p < 0.01 compared with control (one-way ANOVA followed by Newman-Keuls post hoc test).

Fig. 11. Effect of drug administration on stereotypy. These data were gathered concurrently with the experiments shown in Figs. 8 and 9. A, the time course. Arrows indicate time of drug administration. Two-way ANOVA revealed a highly significant increase in stereotypy (F_{drug effect} = 23.0, p < 0.001; F_{time} = 14.0, p < 0.001; F_{interaction} = 2.71, p < 0.001). B, the mean effect observed in the three samples after each injection. Each value is the mean ± S.E.M. (n = 7–8). ∗, p < 0.01 compared with control (one-way ANOVA followed by Newman-Keuls post hoc test).

2010). Gobbi et al. (2008) reported that both 4-methyl-thiaamphetamine and its dimethyl analog (N,N-dimethyl-4-methyl-thiaamphetamine) released preloaded [3H]5-HT from superfused rat brain synaptosomes and human embryonic kidney cells that express the cloned human SERT with lower efficacy than p-chloroamphetamine. An earlier study also reported that m-chlorophenylpiperazine and 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine were partial SERT substrates (Gobbi et al., 2002). The data reported in the present study confirm and extend these observations. We report a wide range of partial BAT substrates and show that the partial release profile of these agents probably results from a slower rate of neurotransmitter efflux than is observed with full substrates.

Over the course of evaluating several hundred members of the PAL library, only a few drugs were noted to be partial releasers (Table 1). Nevertheless, the data reported here illustrate that partial releaser activity is observed at all three BATs, and a drug can be a partial substrate at one BAT and a full substrate at the others (PAL-192 and PAL-193) or a partial substrate at all three BATs (PAL-218). It should be noted that PAL-192 [(±)-MDE] is the racemate of PAL-193 [(+)-MDE] and PAL-194 [(-)-MDE] and is a SERT releaser and weak uptake inhibitor at DAT and NET. The EC_{50} and E_{MAX} values of PAL-192 and PAL-193 for DAT release are similar, indicating that the uptake inhibiting effects of (−)-MDE at DAT (IC_{50} = 4256 ± 191 nM) does not interfere with the more potent releasing effects of (+)-MDE.

To confirm that compounds classified as BAT substrates are indeed releasers, we conducted “substrate reversal” experiments as described elsewhere (Rothman et al., 2002). In these experiments an −ED_{50} dose of test drug was assayed in the appropriate release assay in the absence and presence of a blocking concentration of an appropriate uptake inhibitor, which by itself has minimal effect in the release assay. If the test drug is a releaser, its effect will be attenuated. All of the compounds described in Table 1 were confirmed as being substrates (data not shown). As a further test, we conducted shift experiments (Fig. 7; Table 3) in which a substrate dose-response curve is generated in the absence and presence of a fixed concentration of a known BAT inhibitor. A BAT inhibitor will shift the substrate dose-response curve to the right, thereby permitting calculation of a K_{i} value according to standard pharmacological equations. The results showed that both cocaine and GBR1209 shifted the n- amphetamine, PAL-913, PAL-193, PAL-287, and PAL-1045 dose-response curves to the right. It is noteworthy that cocaine and GBR1209 also reduced the E_{MAX} value of the PAL-192/PAL-193 dose-response curves, and the K_{i} value of each uptake inhibitor was different for each test substrate. These data provide further support for the classification of these compounds as being partial substrates and also indicate greater complexity than can be described by simple competitive models (Nyoila et al., 2010).

To test the hypothesis that partial substrates induce efflux of neurotransmitter at a slower rate than full substrates, we conducted efflux studies focused on DAT-mediated efflux of [3H]MMP because of the favorable signal-to-noise ratio of this assay. PAL-1046 and n-amphetamine illustrate the effects of full substrates on efflux (Fig. 1). As observed in our
previous study with r-ampetamine (Rothman et al., 2009), the efflux curves were best-fit by a two-component dissociation model. As shown in Table 2, both compounds increased A1, the proportion of the faster dissociating component, in a dose-dependent manner. The rate constants observed with the highest concentration of PAL-1046 were similar to that observed with 100 nM r-ampetamine: K1 = ~0.25 min⁻¹ and K2 = ~0.010 min⁻¹. The partial substrates, PAL-1045, PAL-738, PAL-192, and PAL-193 (Figs. 2 and 3), produced qualitatively similar efflux curves. A key difference in the efflux curves for the full substrates and the partial substrates was that the efflux curves of the partial substrates seemed to plateau. Consistent with this pattern, the slow rate constant (K2) observed for the highest concentrations of PAL-192/PAL-193 was essentially zero, and for the somewhat less partial substrates PAL-1045/PAL-738 (~0.001 min⁻¹ and 0.006 min⁻¹), the rate constant (K2) was approxi- mately 10-fold lower than that observed for the highest concentrations of the full substrates r-ampetamine and PAL-1046 (~0.01 min⁻¹). The fast rate constant (K1) ob- served for the highest concentrations of PAL-1045/PAL-738 (K1 = ~0.06 min⁻¹) and PAL-192/PAL-193 (K1 = ~0.03 min⁻¹) was significantly lower than that observed for the highest concentrations of the full substrates r-ampetamine and PAL-1046 (~0.2 min⁻¹). It is noteworthy that the value of K1 at the highest dose of test drug was highly correlated with the E_MAX value of the test agent (r² = 0.89; p < 0.01), indicating that the more “partial” the substrate, the lower the value of K1. Viewed collectively, the efflux experiments demonstrate that partial substrates promote DAT-mediated [³H]MPP⁺ efflux more slowly than full substrates.

As noted under Results, release curves such as those shown in Table 1 are a single time slice (at 30 min) of an efflux curve. The efflux experiments (Table 2) discussed above predict that with partial substrates the E_MAX values observed at 60 min would be higher than the E_MAX observed at the typical 30-min filtration time, because the longer time inter- val would provide more time for efflux. This prediction was confirmed for PAL-192/PAL-193 (Fig. 4) at DAT, PAL-153/ PAL-175 at SERT (Fig. 5), and PAL-218 at NET (Fig. 6). These results provide further confirmation of the hypothesis that the “partiality” of the partial releasers reflects a slower rate of DAT-mediated [³H]MPP⁺ efflux.

The in vivo microdialysis experiments compared the effect of two full substrates (PAL-287 and PAL-1046) and one partial substrate (PAL-1045) on extracellular DA and 5-HT in the nucleus accumbens with simultaneous determination of horizontal locomotor activation and stereotypy (Figs. 8–11). As reported in Table 1, PAL-1046 and PAL-1045 are methyl- and ethyl-substituted PAL-287 analogs, suggesting that the increased steric size of PAL-1045 might account for its partial releaser profile. Given the intrinsic limitations of the microdialysis method, it was possible to generate only a limited dose-response curve for each drug. Despite this con- straint, the results demonstrated that PAL-1046 and PAL- 287 increased extracellular DA in a dose-dependent manner, whereas PAL-1045 did not (Fig. 8). Indeed, the PAL-1045 dose-response curve is best described as being “flat,” consist- tent with the low-efficacy partial substrate nature of this drug. In regard to extracellular 5-HT (Fig. 9), the full sub- strates (PAL-287 and PAL-1046) produced a robust increase in extracellular 5-HT as the dose was increased from 1 to 3 mg/kg. PAL-1045, in contrast, produced a much smaller in- crease in extracellular 5-HT as the dose was increased from 1 to 3 mg/kg, resulting in a flatter dose-response curve. Similar results were observed for horizontal locomotor activ- ity (Fig. 10). Viewed collectively, these data support the hy- pothesis that a compound classified as a partial BAT sub- strate on the basis of an vitro release assay may act as a partial BAT substrate in vivo.

The major finding of this article is the identification of a novel type of BAT ligand: the partial substrate. A simple explanation of our findings is that some substrates affect neurotransmitter translocation more slowly, or less effect- ively, than full substrates. The underlying molecular mech- anism for this remains to be determined, but could be related to subtle differences in the binding of these compounds to the BAT, resulting in conformational states that produce slower transport of the partial substrate into the nerve terminal. These results reinforce the possibility that new types of BAT ligands remain to be discovered. For example, it has been indicated that some DAT inhibitors favor the outward facing transporter compared with the inward facing transporter (Loland et al., 2008; Schmitt et al., 2008).

The possible therapeutic applications of partial substrates remain to be determined. BAT substrates and BAT uptake inhibitors both can increase extracellular biogenic amines, but, as reviewed elsewhere (Rothman and Baumann, 2006), differ fundamentally in their therapeutic applications. For example, ephedrine selectively releases norepinephrine (Rothman et al., 2003) and acts as a psychomotor (amphet- amine-like) stimulant and anorectic agent, whereas selective norepinephrine uptake inhibitors lack psychomotor activity and are antidepressants. The flat dose-response curve ob- served for dopamine release by PAL-1045 (Fig. 8) suggests, for example, that this compound may have a lower abuse liability than r-ampetamine. Thus, it seems possible that partial BAT substrates could be developed into medications with low abuse potential that would be useful for treating addictive diseases, obesity, attention-deficit disorder, and other psychiatric disorders that have been linked to the BAT’s.
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